



Association of the Councils of State and Supreme Administrative Jurisdictions of the European Union i.n.p.a.

Association des Conseils d'Etat et des Juridictions administratives suprêmes de l'Union européenne a.i.s.b.l.

ACA-Europe



NACZELNY SĄD ADMINISTRACYJNY

With the collaboration of the Supreme Administrative Court of Poland

And the support of the task group "Access to national Case law" of the Council of the European Union

**European Case Law Identifier (ECLI)
and meta-data: harmonisation of case
law identification in the European
Union**

Questionnaire

Warsaw

30 September 2011

(Simultaneous interpretation English/French/Polish)

Conference organised with the support of the European Commission



Member State: SLOVAK REPUBLIC

Court: SUPREME COURT OF THE SLOVAK REPUBLIC

Last Name: ASCHENBRENNEROVA

First Name: KRISTINA

Title: assistant to judge

E-mail address: kristina.aschenbrenn@nsud.sk

1. Are you aware of the ECLI system? If yes, how did you hear about it?

Yes. From the information published on the EUR-Lex webpage and from the Council conclusions 2011/C 127/01.

2. After reading the text of the [Council conclusions](#), are there items you do not understand or on which you would like further information? If so, please specify.

There has been no problem understanding the Council conclusions.

3. Are there any barriers to the implementation of such a system in your court (or the courts of your Member State)?

Technical? Other? Please specify.

The current register system "FABASOFT" used by the Supreme Court (which is different from the system used by the district courts and regional courts) is not quite compatible with the ECLI demands. Thus, the systems need to be adapted and this will probably bring some hardware demands. The non-technical barriers are lack of finance and qualified personnel to maintain and also to saturate the systems, as well as to provide the preparation works (eg. anonymizing of the decisions).

4. What adaptations are needed in your court (or the courts of your Member State) to implement the ECLI system requirements?

A unifications of the courts' registers and data-systems or at least their meta-data would be necessary, together with already mentioned adaptations of system applications so that they meet the demands of ECLI.

5. What meta-data (a) required or b) optional) for ECLI are already in place in your court (or the courts of your Member State)?

Date of Issue, Decision Number, Matter in Issue

6. Are there any obstacles for your court (or the courts of your Member State) to extend the available metadata?

See above (the current limits of the data-system and register)

7. Is there a national coordinating authority in your Member State? If yes, please provide coordinating entity's name and contact details.

If not, which organisation do you think should play this role?

So far there is no such an organization. This task should be vested either to the Supreme Court or to the Ministry of Justice. We think it is necessary that only one of these organization, provided with sufficient support, should be in charge.

8. Do judicial institutions in your Member State cooperate on implementation of ECLI standard metadata? Please specify, especially if you have examples of good practice.

No.

9. Are there interoperability problems between ECLI and standard metadata on the one hand and European or national semantic web initiatives on the other hand?

N/A

10. In your Member State, are there already plans to implement ECLI? Please tick the relevant box.

- Implementation already underway (see next questions)
- Implementation to start in the short-term (within 1 year)
- Implementation to start in the medium-term (2-5 years)
- Implementation to start in the long-term (> 5 years)

X No specific plan

Additional comments:

N/A

11. Are you planning to apply ECLI to all case law, retroactive / legacy, or will you limit its use to the new case law?

Up to date, this question has not been raised on the national level.

12. In case your court (or the courts of your Member State) is already implementing ECLI, to share information on best practices, please provide additional information on:

Preparations undertaken:

--

Timetable:

--

Financial costs:

--

Other resources:

--

Difficulties encountered:

--

Other information:

--