



FLASH NEWS

6/17

EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS

OVERVIEW FROM 03/07 TO 14/07/2017

PT / MOREIRA FERREIRA v. PORTUGAL (no. 2) [GC]

Execution of Court judgements – Reopening previously closed domestic proceedings – Member States' room for manoeuvre

No violation of Article 6 § 1 (Right to a fair trial) of the Convention.

In its judgment of 5 July 2011, *Moreira Ferreira v. Portugal* (application no. 19808/08) (FR), the Court held that the failure to examine the applicant during criminal proceedings had been a violation of Article 6 § 1 of the Convention. The applicant complained that the Portuguese Supreme Court had dismissed her application for a review of the criminal judgment delivered against her. She submitted that the Supreme Court had incorrectly interpreted and applied the conclusions of the Court's judgment of 5 July 2011.

Judgement of 11.07.2017 (application no. 19867/12) (FR / EN)
Press release (FR / EN)

FR / BOUDELAL v. FRANCE

Obtaining the nationality of a Member State – Reasoned refusal – Conditions

Application declared inadmissible as Articles 9 (Freedom of thought, conscience and religion), 10 (Freedom of expression) and 11 (Freedom of assembly and association) of the Convention are not applicable in the circumstances of the case.

The applicant, an Algerian national who lives in France, alleged that he had been "denied nationality for committing a thought-crime", complaining that the domestic authorities had refused to reinstate him as a French national on the basis that he was a campaigner for Palestinian and immigrant causes.

Decision of 06.07.2017 (application no. 14894/14) (FR)
Press release (FR / EN)

BE / DAKIR v. BELGIUM

BE / BELCACEMI AND OUSSAR v. BELGIUM

Ban on wearing full face coverings in public – Preservation of the conditions of "living together" – Choice of society

No violation of Articles 8 (Right to respect for private and family life) and 9 (Freedom of thought, conscience and religion) of the Convention.

No violation of Article 14 (Prohibition of discrimination), taken in conjunction with Articles 8 and 9 of the Convention.

The applicants, who live in Belgium and present themselves as Muslims, complained about the ban on wearing full face coverings in public, which results, respectively, from a by-law adopted by three Belgian municipalities (in the *Dakir* case) and the law of 1 June 2011 (in the *Belcacemi and Oussar* case).

Judgement of 11.07.2017 (application no. 4619/12) (FR)

Press release (FR / EN)

Judgement of 11.07.2017 (application no. 37798/13) (FR)

Press release (FR / EN)

OTHER INFORMATION

Overview of the Court's case-law

On 12 July 2017, the Court published an overview of its case-law from 1 January to 15 June 2017. It presents a selection of judgements and decisions that address new issues or important matters of general interest.

Overview of case-law (FR / EN)

